Via EFS Date of Deposit: March 26, 2009

Attorney Docket No. 27585-022

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Thomas P. Hager et al.

Serial No.:

10/003,529

Filing Date:

October 31, 2001

Title:

COMPACT HYBRID FIBER REINFORCED RODS FOR OPTICAL

CABLE REINFORCEMENTS AND METHOD FOR MAKING SAME

Examiner:

Jill M. Gray

Art Unit:

1774

Attn: Office of Finance Refund Section

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR REFUND OF EXTENSION OF TIME FEE

Sir:

Applicants respectfully request the Office of Finance Refund Section refund the payment of an extension of time fee in the amount of \$1,050 that Applicants paid in connection with submission of a petition to revive the above referenced patent application. Enclosed is a copy of the Decision on Petition that the Office of Petitions issued in the above referenced application, indicating that the extension of time fee to extend the time for reply was not necessary. In addition, the Decision indicates that Applicants may request a refund.

Applicants therefore respectfully request the Office refund the amount of \$1,050 to the deposit account of the undersigned, Deposit Account No. 50-0311, referencing Attorney Docket No. 27585-022.

Should the Office have any questions concerning this request, the Office is requested to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted

Carol H. Peters

Registration No. 45,010

MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS GLOVSKY and POPEO, P.C.

Attorneys for Applicant(s) One Financial Center

Boston, MA 02111 Telephone: 617/348-4914 Facsimile: 617/542-2241

Date: March 26, 2009

4571940v.1

DOCKETING



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

27585-022

COPY MAILED

OWENS CORNING
Intellectual Property Law

SEP 0 2 2008

COPY MAILED

SEP 0 2 2008

OWENS CORNING 2790 COLUMBUS ROAD GRANVILLE, OH 43023

In re Application of Thomas P. Hager, et al. Application No. 10/003,529 Filed: October 31, 2001 Attorney Docket No. 25145A RECEIVED SEP 19 2008

MINTZ LEVIN, BOSTON PATENT DOCKET DEPT. ON P THE BLIFT | Docket Entry | Docket Entry | Docket Entry | Docket Cross Off | Docket Cross Off | Docketing Req | ELITE | Docketing Req | Do

This is a decision in response to the petition, filed April 30, 2008, to revive the above-identified application under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The petition is GRANTED.

The application became abandoned for a failure to reply in a timely manner to a non-final Office action mailed December 29, 2006, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extension of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) was obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on March 30, 2007. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on July 11, 2007. On April 30, 2008, the present petition was filed.

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. However, attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. However, in accordance with 37 CIR 1.34(a), the signature of Carol H. Peters appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that she is authorized to represent the particular party on whose behalf she acts. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to petitioner. However, if Attorney Peters desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application of agent must be submitted. All future correspondence regarding this application file will be directed solely to the address of record.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of amendment; (2) the petition fee of \$1,540; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay.

Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136 are available only if asked for prior to or with the response. In no case, however, may an applicant respond later than the maximum time period set by statute. Accordingly, if the question of abandonment arises when the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 can no longer be used, then the application is abandoned when the unextended time for

¹ 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay is filing the required teply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. While the statement is on made by an attorney of record, such statement is entire present and extremely the result of a reconsider in entire present and circumstances of such delay. Seg 37 CFR 10.18(c) and CFR 10.

response has expired. Since, no extension of time fees are due on a petition for revival, petitioner is entitled to a refund of the \$1,050 extension fees included with this petition.

Any request for refund must included a copy of this decision and be mailed to Mail Stop 16, Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 or faxed to the Customer Service Help Desk at (571) 273-6500.

The application is being referred to Technology Center AU 1774 for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course of business on the reply received April 30, 2008.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. Inquiries relating to further prosecution should be directed to the Technology Center.

Sherry D. Brinkley Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions

cc: CAROL H. PETERS

MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY

AND POPEO, P.C.

ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111